

PHYSICAL DOCUMENT

LPS-n244763-v1

CONSENT DECREE

Author: Woolner, Rhodora

Document Type: FILINGS

LSA(s):

Co-Counsel:

Counsel LSA(s):

Woolner, Rhodora (ENRD); Lattin, Sue (ENRD); Rose, Robert (ENRD); Hebb, Kevin M. (ENRD); True, Michael (ENRD) **Distribution List:**

LPS - Main Justice Fileroom:

DJ#:

Case Name:

Court:

SCANNED/UNASSIGNED. CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH V. CITY OF Notes:

LAGUNA BEACH.

Double-Sided:

Received Date: 10/26/2015

Urgent:

Oversize:

Bound Document:

Law Office of Jack Silver

P.O. Box 5469

Santa Rosa, California 95402

Phone 707-528-8175

Fax 707-528-8675

lhm28843@sbcglobal.net



Via Certified Mailing -Return Receipt Requested

October 22, 2015

Gina McCarthy, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

Jared Blumenfeld, Regional Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne St. San Francisco, CA 94105

Richard Norwood
Citizen Suit Coordinator
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
Law and Policy Section
P.O. Box 7415
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044-7415

David Gibson, Executive Officer Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92108-2700

Re: California River Watch v. City of Laguna Beach

USDC Case No: 8:14-cv-01659-DOC-JCG

Submission of Consent Decree for Agency Review

Dear Administrator McCarthy, Administrator Blumenfeld and Messrs. Norwood and Gibson:

Attached please find a fully executed Consent Decree by the parties to the above-referenced action. This Consent Decree is hereby being served on the U.S. Department of Justice, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator, and the Regional

October 22, 2015 Page 2

Administrator of EPA Region IX, pursuant to § 505(c)(3) of the federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(3), and the regulations thereunder, 40 C.F.R. § 135.5, for such agencies' review and comment, where appropriate, within 45 days of service hereof.

A copy of this Consent Decree is also hereby being served upon the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region ("San Diego Regional Board"), pursuant to Section IX of the enclosed Consent Decree, which provision similarly allows the San Diego Regional Board a 45-day review period.

Sincerely,

Jerry Bérnhaut

Attorney for California River Watch

JB:lhm

Enclosure

cc: RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP, Richard Montevideo, Counsel for the City of Laguna Beach

	Į.	
1	Jack Silver, Esq. SB# 160575 lhm28843@sbcglobal.net	
2	Law Office of Jack Silver Post Office Box 5469	
3	Santa Rosa, CA 95402-5469 Tel. (707) 528-8175	
4	Fax. (707) 528-8675	
5	Jerry Bernhaut, Esq. SB# 206264	
6	lhm28843@sbcglobal.net Post Office Box 5469 Santa Basa, CA 05402, 5460	
7	Santa Rosa, CA 95402-5469 Tel. 707-595-1852	
8	Fax. 707-528-8675	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH	
10	Philip D. Kohn (State Bar No. 90158)	
11	pkohn@rutan.com Richard Montevideo (State Bar No. 1160:	51)
12	rmontevideo@rutan.com Emily V. Hester (State Bar No. 292914)	
13	ehester@rutan.com Michael Driscoll (State Bar No. 302507)	
14	mdriscoll@rutan.com RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP	
15	611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931	
16	Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035	
17	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LAGUNA BEACH	
18		DISTRICT COURT
19		CT OF CALIFORNIA
20	CENTRAL DISTRIC	
21	CALÌFORNIA RIVER WATCH, an IRC	Case No. 8:14-cv-01659-DOC-ICG
22	§ 501(c)(3) non-profit, public benefit Corporation,	Hon, David O. Carter
23	Plaintiff,	Courtroom 9D
24	VS.	CONSENT DECREE
25	CITY OF LAGUNA BEACH,	Date Action Filed: October 14, 2014 Trial Date: June 21, 2016
26	Defendant.	11101 2010. 30110 21, 2010
27	Dominant	
28		
.Р		

Rutan & Tucker, LLF attorneys at law

227/053733-0636 8925399.1 a10/06/15

RECITALS

WHEREAS, California River Watch ("River Watch" or "CRW") is an IRC
§ 501(c)(3) nonprofit, public benefit corporation organized under the laws of the
State of California, dedicated to protect, enhance, and help restore the surface waters
and groundwater including all rivers, creeks, streams, wetlands, vernal pools and
tributaries of California;

WHEREAS, Defendant City of Laguna Beach ("City") operates a sanitary sewer collection system under the State of California general permit known as Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ, Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems dated May 2, 2006, as amended in 2013 ("State Discharge Permit"). For purposes of this Consent Decree, the term "Sanitary System" shall include all sanitary sewer lines, mains, lift stations, and associated piping and equipment related thereto, operated by the City, as well as all lines, mains, lift stations, and associated piping, equipment and treatment facilities owned and/or operated by the South Orange County Wastewater Authority ("SOCWA"), in the City or otherwise, that are used to transport, convey, treat or otherwise process any sewage waste generated from within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City, including but not limited to, any portion of the City's sewer collection system operated by the South Coast Water District ("SCWD");

WHEREAS, the City also operates a municipal separate storm sewer system ("MS4") which drains both rain water and dry weather flows, *i.e.*, storm water and urban runoff. Prior to April 1, 2015, the City's MS4 discharges were permitted under the Clean Water Act pursuant to a 2009 MS4 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Systems ("NPDES") permit issued by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region ("Regional Board"), Order No. R9-2009-0002, NPDES No. CAS0108740 ("2009 MS4 Permit"). Since April 1, 2015, the City's MS4 discharges have been permitted under the Clean Water Act pursuant to a 2013 MS4 NPDES Permit issued by Regional Board, R9-2015-0001, NPDES No.

CAS0109266, as amended effective April 1, 2015 ("2015 MS4 Permit");

WHEREAS, SCWD is a public agency which, pursuant to an agreement with the City (Water, Recycled Water, and Sewer Service Agreement dated June 28, 1999), operates that portion of the City's sewer collection system, inclusive of all lines, mains, lift stations, and associated piping and equipment related thereto, generally located in the southern most portion of the City (hereafter, "SCWD Operated System");

WHEREAS, on or about June 10, 2014, CRW served the City with a 60-Day Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit ("First Notice Letter") alleging various violations of the CWA, purportedly caused by "exfiltration" of sewage from the City Sanitary System, and by various alleged sanitary sewer overflows ("SSOs");

WHEREAS, on October 14, 2014, CRW filed a Complaint against the City in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 8:14-CV-01659, alleging violations of the CWA based on the First Notice Letter ("Complaint"). In its Complaint, CRW incorporates the First Notice Letter and alleges the City committed 1825 violations of the CWA as a result of daily "exfiltration" of sewage from the City's Sanitary System, and 43 violations of the CWA as a result of SSOs from the Sanitary System;

WHEREAS, on March 20, 2015, the Court granted, in part, the City's Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, and dismissed CRW's alleged 1825 violations relating to its claim that sewage "exfiltrated" from the City's Sanitary System, finding CRW had failed to put forth any facts to support how, when and where the alleged "exfiltration" violations had occurred. (Docket #23, March 20, 2015 Decision.) Accordingly, the only violations remaining in the Complaint are based on the assertion that the City has discharged sewage to waters of the United States without an NPDES permit and that these violations are continuing;

WHEREAS on or about April 10, 2015 CRW served the City with a 60-Day Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit ("Second Notice Letter") alleging

12

14

13

15

.17

18 19

20

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

violations of the 2013 MS4 Permit based on alleged SSOs from the City's Sanitary System;

WHEREAS on or about July 1, 2015, CRW served the City with a 60-Day Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit ("Third Notice Letter") alleging violations of both the 2009 and 2013 MS4 Permits, based on alleged SSOs from the City's Sanitary System and SSOs from the SCWD Operated System;

WHEREAS, CRW has not yet filed any new or amended complaint to pursue claims based on the Second or Third Notice Letters, but has asserted it would do so, barring a settlement of its disputes with the City;

WHEREAS, the City denies each and all of the claims and allegations in the Compliant and in CRW's First, Second, and Third Notice Letters;

WHEREAS, CRW and the City (collectively, the "Parties") have expended significant effort and resources in investigating, evaluating and litigating the allegations and claims set forth in the Complaint and in the First, Second and Third Notice Letters, and now wish to resolve and settle all disputes, obligations, and purported or actual claims or causes of action, which exist or may exist by and between CRW and the City, including without limitation, any disputes, obligations, claims and/or causes of action that were or could have been asserted in or pursuant to the Complaint and/or the First, Second or Third Notice Letters;

WHEREAS, the Parties have consented to the entry of this Consent Decree and Order without trial of any issues, and hereby stipulate that, in order to settle the claims alleged against the City in CRW's Complaint and in the First, Second and Third Notice letters, and to avoid the delays and expenses of litigation regarding the same, this Consent Decree should be entered;

WHEREAS, this Consent Decree constitutes a settlement of disputed claims. It is not an admission of jurisdiction or the validity of any of CRW's allegations. Should this Consent Decree fail to be entered for any reason, this Consent Decree, any prior drafts and any statement or other provision contained in this Consent

Decree and/or in any prior drafts shall have no legal effect and shall not be used for
any purpose in any subsequent proceeding in this or any other litigation;
WHEREAS, the Court having considered the representations of the Parties,

and after opportunity for comment by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, and the U.S. Department of Justice pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(3) and 40 C.F.R. § 135.5(a), and by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region ("Regional Board"), and having determined that entry of this Decree is in the public interest,

NOW THEREFORE, before taking any testimony and without any adjudication of any fact or law beyond the Court's March 20, 2015 Decision (Docket #23), it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

CONSENT DECREE

The above recitals are hereby incorporated into and shall become a part of this 1. Consent Decree.

T. JURISDICTION/VENUE

- 2. For purposes of the entry of this Consent Decree only, the Parties have agreed that this Court has jurisdiction over both the subject matter and the Parties in this action, pursuant to CWA§ 505, 33 U.S.C. § 1365.
- 19 3. Venue is proper in this Central District of California pursuant to CWA§ 505(c)(1), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(1), because this Court is the judicial district in which the City is located.

APPLICABILITY AND BINDING EFFECT II.

This Consent Decree shall apply to and be binding upon CRW and all of its 4. members, both voting and non-voting, acting by and through its Board of Directors or under its direction and/or control, and the City, acting by and through the City Council, and both CRW's and the City's officers, employees, contractors, subcontractors, consultants, agents, representatives, assigns and volunteers when acting by and through CRW's Board of Directors or under its direction or control, or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

acting by and through the City Council or under its direction or control. To the extent that federal law (including federal principles of res judicata and/or collateral estoppel) allows, this Consent Decree shall be considered binding upon all persons and entities who may hereafter file a citizen suit against the City regarding claims that are the subject of this Consent Decree, provided however, that CRW is not responsible for the actions of any non-voting members of CRW that may decide to pursue any unique or potentially differing interests said member or members have or may have in a separate action against the City or SCWD.

III. CONSENT DECREE TERM

5. The "Effective Date" of this Consent Decree shall be the date the Consent Decree has been entered by the Court and becomes a final enforceable order and not subject to any further rights of appeal. This Consent Decree shall expire on its own terms, and be of no further enforce and effect seven and one half (7.5) years after the Effective Date (hereafter, "Expiration Date").

IV. AGREED TERMS OF CITY'S REMEDIAL MEASURES

6. All of the following agreed-upon remedial measures to be undertaken by the City under this Consent Decree (hereafter "Remedial Measures") are expressly contingent on: (i) an adequate sewer fee increase being approved by the City's Sanitary System rate-payers in 2020; (ii) the City successfully obtaining, either directly or indirectly (through South Orange County Wastewater Authority), a \$10-million low-interest loan by the end of the City's current fiscal year (June 30, 2016); and (iii) the City retaining the ability to exercise its reasonable discretion to re-direct the funding required for the Remedial Measures provided herein, to other needed City programs or projects, if, for example, unforeseen circumstances arise or if the Regional Board or some other regulatory agency directs the City to commit and/or reprioritize funds to address other aspects of its Sanitary System or MS4 program, or some other public program or project. The City shall make a good faith effort to mitigate the effect any unforeseen circumstances may have on the City's ability to

Rutan & Tucker, LLP

implement the aforementioned Remedial Measures. Subject to the foregoing conditions, the City shall perform the below specified Remedial Measures:

- Capital Improvement Program (CIP), the City has budgeted for closed circuit television (CCTV) inspections of all sewer collection lines in the City over five years. As outlined at the June 2, 2015 City Council meeting, the City will first implement a Pilot Main Line Inspection Program in connection with its Pilot Private Sewer Lateral Program and will conduct CCTV inspections of the main lines in the area of the City that are believed to have the most deficient private sewer laterals, followed by the inspection of other sewer lines throughout the City. The City anticipates commencing the full Main Line Inspection Program halfway through the City's next fiscal year (i.e., the winter of 2016) to assess the condition of the lines.
- 6.2 <u>Rehabilitation</u>: Also as currently provided for in the City's CIP, based on the CCTV inspections, the City will use funds set aside in the CIP to repair all sewer lines rated a "4" or a "5" on the National Association of Sewer Service Companies Pipeline Assessment and Certification Program (PACP) grading system, if any such lines are discovered to exist. All repairs of a sewer line rated a "5" shall occur within one and one half (1.5) years of the rating determination. All repairs of a sewer line rated a "4" shall occur within two and one half (2.5) years of the rating determination.
- 6.3 <u>Forest Avenue/Crestview</u>: Again in accordance with the CIP, the City will implement improvements/replacement of portions of the sewer collection system along portions of Forest Avenue and portions of Crestview Drive.
- 6.4 <u>Pilot Private Sewer Lateral Inspection and Repair Program</u>: The City shall implement the recently-adopted Pilot Private Sewer Lateral Inspection and Repair Program (i.e., requiring the inspection, cleaning, repair, and certification of all private sewer laterals the City identifies as deficient) in accordance with the updated and recently adopted Private Sewer Lateral Ordinance set forth in Laguna

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

Beach Municipal Code Chapter 17.50. This Ordinance requires private property owners to inspect and repair private sewer laterals upon one of the following events: (i) a sewer spill from a private sewer lateral; (ii) certain private property building remodels (involving work exceeding \$100,000 or involving the installation of bathroom, kitchen, or laundry facilities increasing the owner's sewage output); (iii) certain changes in use of the property served; (iv) the repair or replacement of any part of the private sewer lateral; (v) a significant repair or replacement of the main sewer line to which the lateral is attached; and/or (vi) where a City inspection and/or

- 6.5 Private Sewer Lateral Financial Incentive Program: The City will implement its recently approved Pilot Financial Incentive Program which assists private homeowners with repairing or replacing their private sewer laterals and/or connections (top hats). Under this grant program, eligible homeowners will receive a match of City funds for the lesser of 50% of the cost to repair or replace a defective private sewer lateral/connection or \$1,600 (per property). If the pilot financial incentive program leads to implementation of the full financial incentive program, as determined by the City in the exercise of its reasonable discretion, the program shall be funded with \$624,000 in total funds as budgeted over the course of 6 years. The funds shall be allocated annually on a first come - first serve basis.
- 6.6 <u>Audit</u>: The City will continue to cooperate with the State Water Resources Control Board and the Regional Board in these Boards' completion of a formal audit of the City's sewer collection system under the Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems, State Board Order No. 2006-0003, as amended in 2013. This formal audit began in the fall of 2014.
- 7. The City reserves the right, in its sole discretion, and in accordance with law, to determine (i) which persons shall perform any work described herein, including contractors, (ii) the scope and technical details of, and manner to implement, any

1	such work, subject to review and approval by any regulatory agency, including the
2	Regional Board, which may, from time to time, exercise jurisdiction over the City's
3	operations of the Sanitary System and/or MS4; and (iii) to redirect funds to other
4	important City projects when it determines such is necessary in the exercise of its
5	reasonable discretion, provided, however, the City shall make a good faith effort to
6	mitigate the effect any unforeseen circumstances may have on the City's ability to
7	implement the Remedial Measures listed in this Section IV of the Consent Decree.
8	8. The City shall not be deemed in default or breach of this Consent Decree by
9	reason of any event or condition which constitutes a force majeure event. For
10	purposes of this Consent Decree, a force majeure event is defined as any event
11	arising from causes beyond the reasonable control of the City or its contractors that
12	delay or prevents performance. A force majeure event includes, without limitation,

agencies, or other causes beyond the City's reasonable control.

AGREED TERMS OF PLAINTIFF'S PUBLIC STATEMENT

acts of God, acts of war, acts of terrorism, fire, explosion, extraordinary weather

events, restraint by court order or public authority, delays caused by the action or

inaction of federal, state, regional, or local permitting authorities and regulatory

Within ten (10) days from the Effective Date, CRW shall issue the following public statement:

California River Watch is pleased to announce a settlement that resolves all of its claims and contentions against the City regarding the City's operation of its sanitary sewer collection system and its municipal storm water collection program. Laguna Beach has invested and continues to invest significant funds into both its sanitary sewer collection system and its municipal storm water program for the benefit of the environment and the protection of water quality throughout Laguna Beach. Laguna Beach is working hard to be an exceptional steward of the environment. River Watch supports Laguna Beach's

Rutan & Tucker, LLP attorneys at law

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. 8:14-cv-01659 CONSENT DECREE goals of achieving the highest of water quality for the benefit of its residents and its millions of annual visitors.

CRW shall maintain this statement on its Website throughout the term of the Consent Decree until the Expiration Date. For at least 12 months after the Effective Date, CRW agrees to maintain this statement at the same location on its Website where it maintains all other documents relating to this lawsuit against the City. That location currently would be at: Home > Legal Cases > Resolved Cases. The Public Statement will be a link at that location just as are all the other referenced documents such as notice letters, settlements and consent orders. Should the design of the CRW website change during the 12 months after the Effective Date CRW agrees to maintain this statement at the same location on its Website where it maintains all other documents relating to Resolved Cases. After 12 months, the locations of the public statement shall be within CRW's sole discretion.

VI. RELEASE OF CLAIMS AND COVENANT NOT TO SUE

10. Effective as of the Effective Date, CRW, on behalf of itself, its officers, directors, voting and non-voting members acting by and through its Board of Directors or under its discretion and/or control, agents, employees, representatives, successors and assigns, and all organizations and entities under the control of CRW (collectively, "CRW Related Parties"), hereby releases, waives and acquits and forever discharges the City, the SCWD, and any and all past, present and future City Council members, SCWD Board members, and their past, present and future officers, agents, employees, representatives, volunteers, successors and assigns (collectively, the "Released Parties"), from and against any and all claims, causes of action, rights of action, liabilities, damages, losses, fines, penalties, fees, costs or expenses, including attorneys' fees, litigation costs and expenses, expert witness fees and costs, and including any claims for equitable, injunctive or declaratory relief, arising out of or in any way relating to any discharge or release, or alleged

Rutan & Tucker, LLP attorneys at law

1 discharge or release, of pollutants into or from the City's Sanitary System, the City's 2 MS4 System, or the SCWD Operated System, whether the discharge or release is in the form of vapors, odors, liquids or solids, whether released into the air, soil, pavement, or any groundwater or surface water, including into any "waters of the United States," and whether currently known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen, 6 anticipated or unanticipated, including those discharges of pollutants alleged or 7 which could have been alleged in the Complaint or in the First, Second or Third Notice Letters, and occurring at any time prior to the Expiration Date (collectively, "Claims"). The "Claims" released herein are inclusive of any and all Claims under the 10 11. Federal Water Pollution Control Act (the "Clean Water Act" – 33 U.S.C § 1251 et. 11 seq.), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA" – 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq), the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act 13 ("CERCLA" - 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq.), the California Hazardous Substance Account Act ("State Superfund" – Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25300 et seq.), the 15 16 Porter Cologne Act (Cal. Water Code § 13000 et seq.), the California Hazardous 17 Waste Control laws (Cal. Health & Safety § 25100 et seq.), the California Safe 18 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65 - Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.), any amendments to the foregoing, and any Claims 19 based on the common laws of nuisance, trespass, waste, ultra-hazardous activity or 20 negligence, and/or any other similar or related-type causes of action or theories of 21 recovery, whether based on statute or common law, or based in law or equity. The 22 Claims released herein do not include any Claims of any non-voting member of 23 CRW that are personal, unique or potentially different from the "Claims" discussed 24 above including such Claims for breach of contract, personal injury or property 25 26 damage. 27 12. CRW is aware that it may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different

28

from those it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the Claims released

herein. In furtherance of the intent of this Consent Decree, the release provided herein shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release of all known and unknown Claims, regardless of the discovery or existence of any additional or different claim or facts relative thereto. CRW acknowledges and agrees it is waiving and releasing any and all rights the CRW Related Parties have or may have 6 under California Civil Code Section 1542, and any other similar or related section of any state or federal provision or law. California Civil Code section 1542 provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

15

16

17

18

14

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

The releases provided herein on behalf of the CRW Related Parties are not 13. conditioned upon timely compliance by the City of any obligations in this Consent Decree, except the City's obligation to make payment to CRW under Section VIII of this Consent Decree. Failure of the City to comply with Section VIII of the Consent Decree shall relieve CRW of all of its obligations under this Consent Decree.

19 20

In further consideration of the City's agreement to enter into this Consent 14. Decree, the CRW Related Parties, and each and every one of them, acting under CRW's direction and/or control, hereby represent, warrant and covenant and agree not to sue or take any other steps to pursue or otherwise enforce any Claims against any of the Released Parties, occurring or allegedly occurring before, on or after the date CRW executes this Consent Decree, and through the Expiration Date.

22 23

21

24

25 26

27

15.

28

Rutan & Tucker, LLP attorneys at law

Case No. 8:14-cv-01659 CONSENT DECREE

Date, CRW, on behalf of itself and all of the CRW Related Parties, and each and

From the date of its execution of this Consent Decree through the Expiration

every one of them, acting under CRW's direction and/or control, hereby further represents, warrants, covenants and agrees not to actively cause, actively support, or otherwise actively encourage any Claim or other lawsuit against the City, either by providing financial or legal assistance, personnel time or other assistance to any third party; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not prevent CRW from communicating with regulatory agencies; acquiring public documents; addressing member concerns; providing otherwise available public documents (other than those obtained by CRW exclusively through discovery in this action); providing information or commenting in connection with administrative proceedings and other similar public comments or participation. Neither shall the foregoing prevent CRW from providing information or performing other actions required by law pursuant to a court order, regulatory order or subpoena.

- 16. Nothing in the agreement shall be construed to violate either American Bar Association Model Rules, Rules of the California State Bar or California Business and Professions Code.
- 16 17. This Consent Decree does not limit or affect the rights of either party as to any persons not parties to this Consent Decree.
 - 18. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall preclude the City from asserting any legal or factual position in any action brought by any person or entity not a party to this Consent Decree, including any defenses it has or may have under the doctrines of res judicata or collateral estoppel.
 - 19. Neither this Consent Decree nor the action taken hereunder shall constitute an admission on the part of the City of liability for any Claims, and in particular, of liability for any alleged violation of the Clean Water Act or applicable California law or other federal law regarding any matter referenced in this Consent Decree.
- 26 The City hereby expressly denies any and all such liability.
- 27 20. The Parties hereto understand and agree that the SCWD is an intended third party beneficiary to this Consent Decree, and shall have the right, but not the

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

obligation, to enforce its terms involving the release of Claims and the Covenant Not to Sue by CRW, as such terms relate to SCWD (set forth in paragraphs 10 thru 19 above). Any action taken by SCWD to interpret or enforce such terms of the Consent Decree shall be through an action to intervene in this Court directly, and not through the provisions set forth in paragraph 21 below.

VII. BREACH OF CONSENT DECREE AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

21. Any disputes between CRW and the City (collectively, "Parties") concerning any alleged interpretation or breach of this Consent Decree (hereafter, "Dispute"), excluding the City's obligation to make timely payment under Section VIII of this Consent Decree, shall be subject to the following dispute resolution procedures. Failure to satisfy the payment condition set forth in Section VIII is a substantial breach of this Consent Decree and relieves CRW of its obligations under this Consent Decree.

21.1 Good Faith Negotiations. The Parties shall make good faith efforts to resolve informally any Dispute. If informal efforts to resolve the alleged Dispute are unsuccessful, the Party asserting the Dispute shall provide written notice of the alleged Dispute and that Party's intent to initiate the dispute resolution procedure of this Section. Written notice shall include a recitation of all facts and circumstances giving rise to the Dispute, including the particular provisions of the Consent Decree alleged to have been breached and the specific relief requested. The relief requested must be within the legal power of the party to redress. The party receiving the written notice of Dispute shall respond in writing within forty-five (45) days of receiving the written notice of Dispute. If the breach can be fully addressed by specific performance and the noticed party fully complies with the noticing party's request for relief by providing such specific performance, then no further action can be taken regarding the Dispute and the noticing party shall have no basis for further pursuing a claim to obtain monetary relief.

21.2 Mediation. If the Parties have made a good faith effort to comply with

Section 21.1 above and the Dispute is not resolved by the Parties within forty-five
(45) days after such notice is given, such Dispute shall be submitted to mediation
before a mutually agreeable neutral mediator from the Central District Court's
Mediation Panel or before a mediator otherwise agreed to by the Parties. Any Party
may request that the Court select a mediator if the Parties cannot reach agreement.
The Parties shall each bear their own costs and attorney's fees incurred in
connection with such mediation. If as a result of mediation the Dispute has been
fully addressed through specific performance and the noticed party fully complies
with the specific performance agreed upon in the mediation, then no further action
can be taken regarding the Dispute and the noticing party shall have no basis for
further pursuing a claim to obtain monetary relief.

Arbitration. If, and only if, the Dispute cannot be resolved by the Parties pursuant to the above mechanisms, such Dispute shall be submitted for binding arbitration before a mutually agreeable neutral arbitrator. Any Party may request that the Presiding Judge of the Central District of California select an arbitrator if the Parties cannot reach agreement. In the event binding arbitration takes place, the Parties agree that no discovery shall be permitted. Briefing will be limited to one (1) brief of no longer than twenty-five (25) pages for each Party, submitted no later than fourteen (14) days before the scheduled arbitration hearing. The arbitration hearing is limited to a maximum of two (2) days, with each Party having no more than one (1) day to present its case to the arbitrator. The determination of the arbitrator shall be binding upon the Parties. Within thirty (30) days following the conclusion of the arbitration hearing, the arbitrator shall issue a written statement of decision describing his/her determinations, and the reasons for the determinations. With the exception of attorney's fees incurred in preparation for the arbitration, the arbitrator shall be empowered to determine a "prevailing party" and award payment to that entity for any and all fees and costs incurred in connection with obtaining the decision, the arbitration itself, including time spent by

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the attorneys and any expert at the arbitration proceeding, including all reasonable fees and costs incurred in effecting the arbitrator's decision, and including, but not limited to, the arbitrator's fees and costs, any expert fees and costs reasonably incurred in connection with the arbitration, and any attorneys' fees reasonably incurred in the arbitration and thereafter to effect the arbitrator's judgment/determinations. To the extent there are multiple issues with a different prevailing party for one or more issues, the arbitrator may take those facts into account in terms of an award for fees and costs. Judgment upon any determination rendered by the arbitrator shall be entered by the Court.

21.4 <u>Waiver</u>. By agreeing to these dispute resolution provisions, including the binding arbitration provision, the Parties understand that they are waiving certain important rights and protections that otherwise may have been available to each of them if a Dispute between them were determined by a judicial action including, without limitation, the right to a jury trial, and certain rights of appeal. Other than the remedies contained within this Consent Decree including dispute resolution and specific performance of the terms of this Consent Decree, there are no other remedies. The Parties specifically agree that there is no basis within this Consent Decree or within the contemplation of the Parties to support a claim for consequential damages due to any form of breach.

VIII. <u>ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS</u>

- 22. Within Thirty (30) days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the City shall pay CRW the sum of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) in full satisfaction of all claims by CRW for attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action. The City's check shall be made payable to California River Watch and mailed to the Law Office of Jack Silver, P.O. Box 5469, Santa Rosa, CA 95402-5469.
- 23. Except as stated in subparagraph 21.3 above, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs and expenses incurred in connection with this action.

2	
3	
4	24
5	su
6	pr
7	Uı
8	pr
9	W
10	CI
11	Re
12	EF
13	its
14	
5	25
16	un
17	ad
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	26
26	20

IX.	NOTICE TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THE
CALIFO	RNIA REGIONAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, SAN DIEGO
	REGION

The Parties acknowledge and agree that entry of this Consent Decree is

subject to the requirements of CWA § 505(c)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(3), which provides that "[n]o consent judgment shall be entered in an action in which the United States is not a party prior to 45 days following receipt of a copy of the proposed consent judgment by the Attorney General and the [EPA] Administrator." Within five (5) business days following the Parties' execution of this document, CRW shall serve copies upon the EPA Administrator, the Attorney General and the Regional Administrator of EPA Region IX in San Francisco (collectively, "US EPA"), in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 135.5(a), and upon the Regional Board for its review and comment (within forty-five (45) days of its receipt).

X. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 25. All reports, notices or other written communications required to be submitted under this Consent Decree shall be sent to the respective Parties at the following addresses:
 - a. To City of Laguna Beach:

 John Pietig
 City Manager
 505 Forest Avenue
 Laguna Beach, CA 92651
 Telephone (949) 497-0704
 Facsimile (949) 497-0771
 - b. To California River Watch: Jerry Bernhaut, Esq.
 Law Office of Jack Silver
 P.O. Box 5469
 Santa Rosa, CA 95402-5469
 Telephone (707) 528-8175
 Facsimile (707) 528-8675
- 26. This Consent Decree and its terms shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon each of the Parties and each and all of their respective predecessors, successors, and assignees, as though they were parties to this action.

Rutan & Tucker, LLP altorneys at law

27

- 1 27. Each of the Parties have been fully advised by its attorney as to this Consent
- 2 Decree and all provisions contained within it and acknowledges that its signing of
- 3 this Consent Decree is based solely upon the written representations contained in
- 4 this Consent Decree and not based on any inducement, promise or representation not
- 5 expressly stated in this Consent Decree. Additionally, each of the Parties
- 6 acknowledges, in signing this Consent Decree, that it constitutes the full, complete,
- 7 and entirety of the terms and conditions agreed to by them in settling the dispute
- 8 | between them, i.e. Case No. 8:14-cv-01659-DOC-JCG.
- 9 28. This Consent Decree is made and entered into under the laws of the State of
- 10 California and the United States and shall be interpreted, governed and enforced
- 11 pursuant to these laws.
- 12 29. Should any provision of this Consent Decree be held invalid or illegal, such
- 13 | illegality shall not invalidate the remainder of this Consent Decree. In that event,
- 14 this Consent Decree shall be construed as if it did not contain the invalid or illegal
- 15 part, and the rights and obligations of the Parties shall be construed and enforced
- 16 accordingly.
- 17 30. The Parties have mutually negotiated this Consent Decree and the doctrine of
- 18 contra proferentum does not apply.
- 19 | 31. Each signatory of this Consent Decree signing on behalf of another, warrants
- 20 that he or she has the authority to sign on behalf of said person or entity and all
- 21 persons covered by this Consent Decree. This Consent Decree may be executed in
- 22 | counterparts with each counterpart being interpreted as an original.
- 23 | 32. This Consent Decree contains the entire agreement of the Parties and shall not
- 24 be modified by any prior oral or written agreement, representations or
- 25 understanding. Prior drafts of this Consent Decree shall not be used in any action
- 26 involving the interpretation or enforcement of this Consent Decree. This Consent
- 27 Decree shall not be amended or modified except by the written order of this Court.
- 28 Any modification of this Consent Decree by the Parties shall be in writing and

1	approved by the Court before it will be deemed effective.
2	33. This Consent decree shall expire on the Expiration Date.
3	XI. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>
4	34. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms and conditions of this
5	Consent Decree and to resolve any disputes arising hereunder until its Expiration
6	Date. After the Expiration Date, the Parties shall have no further obligations or
7	responsibilities of any kind under this Consent Decree.
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	Dated and entered this day of, 20
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12	
13	Judge David O. Carter
14	
15	IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED:
16	CITY OF LAGUNA BEACH
17	12 States
18	Dated: 0/19, 2015 By: John Pietin
19	John Pietig City Manager
20	ATTEST:
21	Tout Ou Cabolan
22	City Clerk
23	CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH
24	
25 26	Dated:, 2015 By:
27	Larry Hanson President
28	
P	
- 11	Case No. 8:14-cv-01659

Rutan & Tucker, LLF attorneys at law

227/053733-0636 8925399.1 a10/06/15

1	approved by the Court before it will be deemed effective.	
2	33. This Consent decree shall expire on the Expiration Date.	
3	XI. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>	
4	34. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms and conditions of this	
5	Consent Decree and to resolve any disputes arising hereunder until its Expiration	
6	Date. After the Expiration Date, the Parties shall have no further obligations or	
7	responsibilities of any kind under this Consent Decree.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated and entered this day of, 20	
11	•	
12		
13	Judge David O. Carter	
14	IT 10 CO A CREED AND CTIDIII ATED.	
15	IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED:	
16	CITY OF LAGUNA BEACH	
17		
18	Dated:, 2015 By: John Pietig	
19 20	. City Manager	
21	ATTEST:	
22		
23	City Clerk	
24	CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH	
25		
26	Dated:, 2015 By:	
27	Larry Hanson President	
28		
LP		

Rutan & Tucker, LLI attorneys at law

227/053733-0636 8925399.1 a10/06/15

2 LAW OFFICE OF JACK SILVER	
3 LAW OFFICE OF JACK SILVER	
H · ·	
4	
5 By: Jerry Benhaul Dated: 10-1	, 2015
6 Jerry Bernhaut, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff	
7 California River Watch	
8	
9	
Approved as to form:	
11	
12 RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP	
13	
14 By: Del Montono Dotate 12/16	
Richard Montevideo, Esq.	, 2015
16 Attorney for Defendant City of Laguna Beach	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Rutan & Tucker, LLP attorneys at law

ffice of Jack Silver ox 5469 Rosa, CA 95402-5469



7013 0600 0000 2746 2772



1000



U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SANTA ROSA, CA
95404
OCT 22, 15
AMOUNT

30.1 1 00129468-11

Richard Norwood
Citizen Suit Coordinator
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
Law and Policy Section
P.O. Box 7415
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044-7415

OF JACK SILVER ates Postal Service 1000027462772 5 1444

, Kevin M. (ENRD) 373-7550



MSC: PH2121 Hebb, Kevin M. (ENRD)

